

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

14 WRIT PETITION NO.9696 OF 2017

SUMAN @ SUNITA BALU PANDAV

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. V.S. Bedre, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. S.B. Deshpande, Advocate for the respondent No.1

Mr. M.N. Navandar, Advocate for the respondent No.2

Respondent Nos.3 to 6 – served.

...

CORAM : SUNIL P DESHMUKH &  
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.

DATE : 25<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER, 2019

**PER COURT :**

1            Learned counsel, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition with a view that a comprehensive representation would be filed, to concerned authority, seeking pensionary benefits and thus, does not press for present writ petition. Leave granted.

2            In view of aforesaid, writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn to enable the petitioner to file a proper comprehensive representation to concerned authority. If representation is filed within a period of four weeks

from today, the same be decided as expeditiously as possible, within a period of three months thereafter.

( Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi )  
JUDGE

( Sunil P. Deshmukh )  
JUDGE

agd