

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7688 OF 2019
(IN WRIT PETITION NO. 8283 OF 2017)

Ashok Baurao Gaikwad

...Applicant

Versus

The Additional Divisional Commissioner, ...Respondents
Aurangabad & Ors.

.....

Mr. S.R.Yadav, AGP for the Respondent No. 1.

Mr. N.M.Chandanshive, Advocate holding for Mr. D.S.Mali,
Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

Mr. R.K.Ashtekar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

.....

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE : 05-07-2019.

PER COURT :

01. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has recently opted for voluntary retirement. He, therefore desires to amend the petition so as to raise the claim for retiral benefits. The learned Advocate for the respondents oppose the application.

02. It is obvious that a service dispute is involved in the matter and the issue of retiral benefits of the petitioner would crop up. Hence, the application is

allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks. Liberty is granted to the respondents to file an additional affidavit-in-reply, if advised.

03. Stand over to 29.7.2019 in the "admission" category.

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE]

JUDGE

Dahibhate/-