

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**932 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7293 OF 2019
IN WP/13111/2018**

SHOBHATAI ARVIND NALGE

..APPLICANT

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Ramesh R. Mantri, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 09th AUGUST, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. Heard Mr. Mantri, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Lakhotiya, learned A.G.P.

2. In writ petition notices are not issued to respondents except learned Advocate General. Writ Petition is at the nascent stage. The petitioner by virtue of present amendment is assailing the order dated 16.04.2019 passed during the pendency of the present writ petition. The same is a subsequent event.

3. As far as amendment to prayer clause (C) as sought in paragraph 5 of the instant application is concerned, we would have considered the same, if

the petitioner would have prayed for deletion of prayer Clause (C). In fact, prayer Clause (C) as appeared in original writ petition would not be considered. The said part of amendment as sought in paragraph 5 of the application is not considered.

4. The present civil application for amendment is partly allowed. The applicant is permitted to introduce Clauses (A) to (I) as is referred to in paragraph no.2 of the civil application. The petitioner is also permitted to add prayer Clause (G1) as is referred to in paragraph no.3 of the amendment application.

5. Civil Application is disposed of.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE