

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.10553 OF 2019

VANDAN NANA TRIBHUVAN

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Mr. A.H. Dhupe, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. P.S. Patil, Additional Government Pleader for Respondents-State

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATE : 26th AUGUST, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a project affected person. The respondents are not giving the appointment to the petitioner nor considering the claim of the petitioner. The learned Additional Government Pleader accepts notice for respondents.

2. A person from the project affected category cannot be given a direct appointment dehors of competition. The Reference can be had to the Judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in case of ***Rajendra Pandurang Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra reported in 2009 (4) Mh.L.J. 961.***

3. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

4. The Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

[ANIL S.KILOR]
JUDGE

[S.V.GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

mta