

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

909 WRIT PETITION NO.9547 OF 2019

HARIBHAU TUKARAM DHAVAN AND ANOTHER ..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Kishor D. Khade, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondents-State.

WITH

910 WRIT PETITION NO.9548 OF 2019

KAVAREBAI SHRIRAM GADEKAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Kishor D. Khade, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. K. N. Lokhande, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 01st AUGUST, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. The learned counsel submits that petitioners had filed application seeking rental compensation. The same be directed to be decided.

2. The application appears to have been filed in inward on 16.05.2019. We cannot encourage such petitions. It is only if the authority is not deciding the application within reasonable time,

then the party can approach the Court seeking directions. The application for rental compensation has to be decided after hearing acquiring body also.

3. If the application is filed, naturally, the competent authority has to decide it in accordance with law and expeditiously.

4. If the competent authority does not decide the application within reasonable time, then liberty to petitioners to approach the Court.

5. Writ Petitions are disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE