

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6788 OF 2014

Ram Suryabhan Khade

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Others

..RESPONDENTS

....

Mr. S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. A.V. Deshmukh, A.G.P. for respondent - State

Mr. Ashok Tapse, Advocate h/f Mr. P.D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for respondent no.5

Mr. A.N. Nagargoje, Advocate h/f Mr. V.J. Dixit, Advocate for respondent no.6

....

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
R.G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATED : 01st APRIL, 2019

ORDER :

Despite letter dated 20th March, 2019 it appears that no alternate arrangement of appearance on behalf of petitioner has been made. In the circumstances, Mr. S.N. Gaikwad, learned Counsel stands discharged from appearance on behalf of petitioner in present writ petition.

2. Mr. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for Respondent No.6 states that factually the purpose underlying writ petition gets worked out since subsequently representation mentioned in prayer clause (A) has been decided and has been further acted upon. In view of the same it

appears that petitioner is no longer interested in prosecuting the matter. Writ petition is disposed of for non-prosecution.

SSD (R.G. AVACHAT, J.) (SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.)