

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8058 OF 2019

RAJENDRAKUMAR PANDHARINATH BARHATE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

Mr. S. S. Deshmukh, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S. N. Morampalle, AGP for respondents/State

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ
DATED : 03rd JULY, 2019.**

PER COURT:-

1. We have heard Mr. Deshmukh, the learned Counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Deshmukh, the learned Counsel submits that the State has already issued directions to the respondent No. 3. The respondent No. 3 is not acting upon the same. As such, this Court can consider the present petition.
2. The petitioner has an effective alternate remedy available before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. All the contentions of the petitioner can be appreciated by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal.
3. As alternate efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner, we are not inclined to entertain the Writ Petition. Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

**(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE**

PS.B.

**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**