

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9825 OF 2019

Ramesh Vithalrao Jogdand ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra through
Commissioner Revenue Additional
Commissioner ...Respondents

.....

Mr. S.B.Chavan, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S.R.Yadav, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

.....

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE : 06-08-2019.

PER COURT :

01. This matter was not on board. An urgency was expressed. Hence, taken on the production board.

02. The learned A.G.P. points out that the petitioner has a statutory remedy of challenging the impugned Order of the Additional Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad by preferring a second revision by the Honourable Minister in the light of the Judgment delivered by the Honourable Apex Court in **Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani V/s State of Maharashtra [(2016) 2 SCC 213]**.

03. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to avail off the remedy. The time spent by the petitioner in this Court from 13.6.2019 till the passing of this Order, shall be considered as a ground for condonation of delay.

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE]

JUDGE

Dahibhate/-