

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 7210 OF 2019

BABASAHEB JAGANNATH DINKAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners :

Mr. S. P. Salgar a/w. Mr. Gaware Niteen V.
AGP for Respondents / State : Mr. S. N. Kendre

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE: 17th JUNE, 2019

PER COURT:

1. We have heard Mr. Salgar, learned counsel along with Mr. Gaware, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The learned A.G.P. points out that the petitioner has remedy under Section 47 of the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act, 1966.

3. In the light of that, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy. The authority may consider the time spent in prosecuting the present writ petition. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]
marathe