

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6890 OF 2018

Kavita Digambar Jadhav

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri V. D. Salunke, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Vaishali N. Jadhav Patil, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri Suhas B. Ghute, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 07TH FEBRUARY, 2019.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Ghute, the learned advocate for the respondent No. 2 submits that, as per the Government Resolution, the petitioner has to approach the Commissioner.

2. If the petitioner has grievance with regard to transfer, the Commissioner is appropriate authority to decide the grievance.

3. The petitioner may file representation/objection with the Commissioner, the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad shall decide the representation/objection within a period of thirty (30)

days from the date of receipt of representation/objection.

4. In view of the above, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb. 19