IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

107 WRIT PETITION NO.6818 OF 2019

DNYANESHWAR BARIKRAO POTE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Nimbalkar Aniruddha A.
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 : Mr. A.R. Kale
Advocate for Respondent No. 4: Mr. Suryawanshi Govind G.
...

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 06/08/2019

PER COURT :

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. The learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, learned counsel for respondent 5 to 11 are also served.

2. The present petitioner has filed a revision against the order condoning the delay of 36 years. Along with the revision, the petitioner has filed stay application. The stay application has not decided but the respondent No. 3 has kept the matter for final hearing. In view of the said exigency, we had entertained the writ petition.

3. The respondent No. 2 shall decide the stay application filed

by the petitioner on its own merits and till the stay application filed by the petitioner in the revision is decided by the respondent No.2, the respondent No. 3 shall not proceed with the appeal. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.) **(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)**

habeeb