

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.6619 IF 2019

Upasana Jagdish Bidla

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr.V.S.Panpatte, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.K.N.Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Mr.S.B.Pulkundwar, advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 10th June, 2019

PER COURT:

The grievance of the petitioner is that the proposal seeking approval to her appointment is pending.

We have heard learned AGP for Respondent No.1. Mr.Pulkundwar, advocate for Respondent No.2 submits that in case proposal submitted by the school seeking approval to the appointment of petitioner is pending, Respondent No.2 would decide it on its own merits.

In case, the proposal (Exhibit-E, Page 25) is pending with Respondent No.2, then Respondent No.2 shall decide the same on its own merits and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within six weeks from today.

Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

**MANGESH S. PATIL
JUDGE**

**S.V.GANGAPURWALA
JUDGE**

adb/