

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6395 OF 2019

Sujata Vishwanath Suram	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. A. B. Girase, Govt. Pleader for the State.	
....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6407 OF 2019**

Sandip Popatrao Khetmalis	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A.G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. P. K. Lokhande, AGP for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6409 OF 2019**

Hasina Shamshuddin Tamboli	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. A. B. Girase, Govt. Pleader for the State.	
.....	

WRIT PETITION NO. 6416 OF 2019

Manisha Chhagan Sakhare	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. A. B. Girase, Govt. Pleader for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6442 OF 2019**

Anita Gangaram More	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, AGP for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6443 OF 2019**

Swati Dnyandev Kolhe	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6449 OF 2019**

Manisha Damodhar Satpute	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. K. B. Jadhavar, AGP for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6470 OF 2019**

Mohan Bhagwan Shirsat	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mrs. M. A. Deshpande, AGP for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6467 OF**

Mandakini Dhondiba Davbhat	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. S. R. Dheple, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. A. B. Girase, Govt. Pleader for the State.	
.....	

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6481 OF 2019**

Ambadas Kisan Tambe	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents
.....	
Mr. S. R. Dheple, Advocate for petitioner.	
Mr. Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for the State.	
.....	

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ**

DATED : 06th JUNE, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Government Pleader and the learned AGPs for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the Chief Executive Officer has not considered the documents submitted by the petitioners. False information is not given by the petitioners. The petitioners have been transferred on the ground of couple convenience.

3. We have heard the learned Government Pleader and the AGPs also. The finding is arrived at by the Chief Executive Officer about the

claim made by the petitioners and the Chief Executive Officer has found the same to be false. The orders are passed under the Maharashtra Zilla Parishad District Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules.

4. The petitioners have remedy to file appeal under the provisions of Rule 13 and 14 of the Maharashtra Zilla Parishad District Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1964. The petitioners may approach the Commissioner and seek further relief as may be permissible in law with regard to the impugned orders.

5. If the petitioners approach the Commissioner by filing appeal, considering the urgency of the matter, the Commissioner may consider the prayer of the petitioners for interim orders on its own merits after hearing the Zilla Parishad Chief Executive Officer, expeditiously and on priority basis.

6. Depending on the order passed by the Commissioner, the petitioners may agitate their grievance before the respondents or may take up such appropriate proceedings as may be permissible in law, that may probably help them.

7. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

SMS