

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITIN NO.7839 OF 2018

Janardhan S/o Ananda Kothale

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Mr.V.P. Latange, advocate for the petitioner

Mr.S.R. Yadav, AGP for State - Respondent No.1 to 6

Miss. M.U. Kakade Advocate h/f Smt.M.D. Thumbe for respondent No.8

...

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, JUDGE**

(Date : 20th March, 2019)

PER COURT :-

1 The learned advocate for respondent No.8 submits that, an alternate remedy is available to the petitioner, to prefer a Second Revision, in the light of the Judgment of the Honourable Apex Court delivered in the matter of **Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani versus State of Maharashtra and others** (2015 (6) Mh.L.J.915).

2 The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the time spent by the petitioners in this Court, from 13.6.2018 may be considered as a ground for condonation of delay, if the petitioner approaches the revisional authority.

3 In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

4 If the petitioner approaches the revisional authority by filing a second revision, within four weeks from today, the time spent by the petitioner in this Court from 13.6.2018 until four weeks from today would be considered as a good ground for condonation of delay.

**(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE),
JUDGE**

vbd