

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**25 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8104 OF 2017
IN FAST/17360/2017
WITH
25.1 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8105 OF 2017
IN FAST/17395/2017**

**GURNATH MADHAWARAO MIRCALE (PATIL) (DIED) THR LRS RAJABAI
AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Sontakke Gajanan K. And Mrs. P.G. Sontakke
(Patil)

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. R.B. Bagul

Advocate for Respondent no.2 : Mr. Navandar Manish N.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 02.12.2019

PER COURT :

Heard both the sides.

2. These are the applications for condonation of delay of 1234 days in preferring appeals against the impugned judgment and award passed by the reference Court under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act.

3. The learned advocate for the Acquiring Body strongly opposes the applications on the ground that the delay has not been properly explained.

4. After having heard both the sides and after going through the reasons mentioned in the application in my considered view it is just and

appropriate case wherein the delay can be condoned when the applicants would not have gained anything by causing delay.

5. The applications are allowed. The delay is condoned subject to the condition that the applicants shall not be entitled to claim any interest for the period of delay of 1234 days.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

habeeb