

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
907 WRIT PETITION NO.5842 OF 2019**

SHRI RASHIDKHAN YUSUFKHAN PATHAN AND ANOTHER
..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Pralhad D. Bachate, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. D. M. Shinde, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 04th JUNE, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. The learned A.G.P. on instructions submits that respondent no.2 had constituted Committee for conducting enquiry. The enquiry has been conducted by the Committee and as some members were not available, the report could not be submitted. The Committee would submit report within one week. The respondent no.2 has already directed respondent no.3 not to make any further payments to the Contractor.

2. In view of the said statement, the purpose of the writ petition stands served. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

**(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE**

**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**