

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

**WRIT PETITION NO.7352 OF 2018**

(The Agriculture Produce Market Committee, Pathardi, and another  
Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

**WITH**

**WRIT PETITION NO.7353 OF 2018**

Mr.S.R.Andhale, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.S.W.Munde, AGP for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Mr.G.B.Rajale, Advocate for respondent No.5.

( CORAM : Ravindra V.Ghuge, J.)

DATE : 26/03/2019

PER COURT :

1. I have heard the learned Advocates for the respective sides and the learned AGP. I have considered the grounds for challenge, the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the statutory authorities and the impugned order.

2. I find that some communication issued by the Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Society, Pathardi would not vest jurisdiction in the A.P.M.C. Pathardi to initiate action against a Co-opted Director of such A.P.M.C. No provision is cited to indicate that a Co-opted Director could be disqualified by the Secretary of the A.P.M.C.

3. In view of the above, both these petitions, being devoid of merit, stand disposed off. Needless to state, if the petitioners have a grievance as against the co-opted Director and seek the disqualification of such a Director, it would be open to the petitioners to approach the competent forum / authority, 'if' and 'as may be' permissible in law.

( Ravindra V.Ghuge, J.)