

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

99 WRIT PETITION NO.7825 OF 2019

RAVIKIRAN ARJUN PAGARE  
VERSUS  
THE UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...  
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Wagh Rajendra H.  
Advocate for Respondent No.1 :Mr. D.G. Nagode  
Advocate for Respondent No.2 :Mrs. Dube Anjali

...  
CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA & AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.  
Date: December 16, 2019

...  
PER COURT :-

1 The petitioner does not have any case on merit. The petitioner is challenging the notification after lapse of three years. Another candidate is already selected. He is not party to the present petition.

2 The petitioner has participated in the selection process. It is not open for the candidate to turn around and contend otherwise. Candidates are bound by the terms and conditions of the selection process. They cannot take a stand that they were not aware of the notification.

3 In the light of above, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

( AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J. )

( S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J. )