

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.7185 OF 2014

SACHIN KRISHNRAO DINGRE
VERSUS
MAHATMA PHULE KRISHI VIDYAPEETH, RAHURI
AND ANOTHER

Mr.A.S.Deshpande, Advocate for petitioner
Mr.M.N.Nawandar, Advocate for respondent no.1
Mr.A.S.Shinde, AGP for respondent no.2

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH
AND
R.G. AVACHAT, JJ.
DATE : MARCH 26, 2019**

PER COURT

Heard Mr.Deshpande, learned Counsel for petitioner and
Mr.Nawandar, learned Counsel for respondent no.1.

2. Petitioner has filed additional affidavit stating that the substantial grievance of petitioner has been taken care of under the order dated 16.03.2017 issued by respondent no.1 - University, which has been annexed to the affidavit at Exhibit 'X-1' as well as the order of even date place at Exhibit 'X-2'. In the affidavit, a request has been

made for direction to let petitioner have benefit of Regulation No.16.3 of 2010.

3. Since substantial grievance of petitioner stands redressed apropos to the orders (Exhibits 'X-1' and 'X-2' to the affidavit) referred herein above and with reference to the same, if some benefit ensues to petitioner, petitioner may approach, if required, to the concerned authority. However, for said purpose, it may not be necessary to keep the petition pending. The Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

[R.G. AVACHAT, J.]

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]