

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4920 OF 2019

Santosh Pandurang Pantoji	... Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents

....

Mr. S. M. Vibhute, Advocate for petitioner.
Ms. V. N. Patil-Jadhav, AGP for the State.
Mr. A. M. Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

....

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ**

DATED : 26th APRIL, 2019

PER COURT:-

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedies as available under the provisions of law if so advised. The learned counsel also submits that the petitioner is in service for a considerable long period and the order of the scrutiny committee invalidating the claim may put the petitioner for serious prejudice and hardship, including apprehension to lose the employment, in which the petitioner is already employing. As such, the learned counsel prays protection in nature of status-quo, so as to enable the petitioner to avail appropriate remedies and to approach the competent forum.

2. In view of the submission of the learned counsel for petitioner the petition is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

3. The respondent Nos. 3 and 4 i.e. employers of the petitioner are directed to maintain status-quo insofar as service of the petitioner is concerned, till 10th June, 2019.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

SMS