

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4967 OF 2018

PANCHSHEEL BAHUUDDESHIYA SEVABHAVI SANSTHA CHAUKA
 THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT PADMSHEEL
 VERSUS
 THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Kedar Balbhim R.

Addl.GP for Respondents No 1 to 3 & 3A:

Mr. P. S. Patil

Advocate for Respondents :

Mr. Naiknavare Ramesh V., Advocate for R/11,
 Mr. B. N. Gadegaonkar, Advocate for R/9.
 Mr. Shelke Shivaji T., Advocate for R No. 17,
 Mr. Sangeet L.V., Advocate for R No. 30,
 Mr.Dhongade Anilkumar B., Advocate for Respondents
 No. 25,26,37,39,41 and 43,
 Mr. Tandale P.R., Advocate for R No.13,
 Mr. Pulkundwar Santosh B., Advocate for R No. 8 To 10,
 Mr. Shinde Bhagwat A., Advocate for R No. 15,
 Mr. Kulkarni Sudhir V., Advocate for R No. 49,
 Ms. Chaudhari Vaishali S., Advocate for Respondent,
 Mr.Tiwari Arivind K., Advocate for R No. 46,
 Mr. Bondar U.B., Advocate for R No. 19,
 Mr. Sonwane Vilas D., Advocate for R No. 5,
 Mr. Pawar D.B., Advocate for R No. 36

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.

DATE: 24th JANUARY, 2019

PER COURT :

1. Mr. Kedar, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that at present the petitioner would restrict the petition to the extent of

prayer clause "C" and would agitate with regard to other prayer clauses in future, if, occasion arises.

2. It is submitted that the proposals of minority schools are pending as per the list given below ***Exhibit-G***.

3. In case the proposals filed by the petitioner for opening the schools of the minority institutions (*Exhibit-G*) are pending with the State Authority, then the State Authority shall take decision upon it on its own merits.

4. Save and except the above, we have not passed any orders in favour of the petitioner. All contentions of the petitioner with regard to other issues are kept open.

5. Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed of.
No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe