

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6379 OF 2019

Ramdas Ganpat Jadhav	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents

....

Mr. Ravindra Nirmal, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. A. B. Girase, Govt. Pleader for the State.

....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ**

DATED : 06th JUNE, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner.
2. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the Tahsildar has directed the Talathi to consider the case of petitioner regarding the entry of the cart way in the 7/12 extract, however, no action has been taken.
3. The learned Government Pleader submits that for such purposes the committee is constituted vide circular dated 13.02.2015.
4. If the respondents are not taking any action, the petitioner may approach the committee and the committee shall decide the

same expeditiously preferably within a period of four months after receipt of application of the petitioner.

5. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

SMS