

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.265 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2307 OF 2013**

Vimalbai w/o Ashokchand Kankariya,
Age: Major, Occu: Household & Agri.,
R/o Patoda, Tq. Patoda, Dist. Beed

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Secretary
Revenue and Forest Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
2. Navalkishor Ram,
The Collector, Beed,
Tq. & Dist. Beed
3. The Superintendent of Land Records,
Beed
4. Manik Keshavrao Mundhe,
The Deputy Superintendent of Land
Records, Patoda. At present office of
Superintendent of Land Record,
Ahmednagar, Dist. Ahmednagar
5. Vikram Deshmukh,
The Tahasildar,
Patoda, Tq. Patoda, Dist. Beed
6. A. S. Dahiphade,
Deputy Superintendent of Land Record,
Patoda, Tq. Patoda, Dist. Beed

..RESPONDENTS

Mr N. L. Jadhav, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr P. G. Borade, A.G.P. for respondents/State

(2)

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
R. G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATE : 4th July, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Mr Jadhav, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned Asstt. Govt. Pleader appearing for respondents/State.

2. Learned Asstt. Govt. Pleader, by inviting our attention to affidavit-in-reply filed by one Mr Astik Kumar Pandey, who is occupying the position of the District Collector, Beed, submitted that there is compliance of the order of this Court in letter and spirit. Our attention is invited to para Nos.4, 5 and 6 of the said affidavit-in-reply. The letter issued through the Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Patoda, dated 1st July, 2019 is also annexed to affidavit-in-reply along with the copy of the measurement reflected in the measurement map.

3. Mr Jadhav, learned Counsel for the petitioner also fairly admits that the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the Collector, Beed shows the compliance of the order of this Court.

(3)

4. As the purpose of approaching to this Court by filing contempt petition is duly served and the petitioner, if is having any grievance in respect of measurement, he is at liberty to raise the grievance before the appropriate and competent authority, if so advised. With this liberty to the petitioner, the petition is disposed of accordingly.

(R. G. AVACHAT, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)

sjk