

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

1006 WRIT PETITION NO.6875 OF 2019

SHANKAR TULSHIRAM GAIKWAD  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with

1007 WRIT PETITION NO.6876 OF 2019

MANIKRAO BANDU AHER THROUG LRS LEELABAI MANIKRAO AHER AND  
OTHERS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with

1008 WRIT PETITION NO.6877 OF 2019

PANDITRAO BANDU GODSE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with

1009 WRIT PETITION NO.6878 OF 2019

SAMBHAJI RAMA SAKHLE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with

1010 WRIT PETITION NO.6879 OF 2019

KADUBA RAMRAO NIKAM  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with

1011 WRIT PETITION NO.6880 OF 2019

NARAYAN RAMKRISHNA FARKADE

VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1012 WRIT PETITION NO.6881 OF 2019

KHUSHALRAO BAPURAO PAWAR  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1019 WRIT PETITION NO.6889 OF 2019

SARJERAO SURYABHAN BADAK TROUGH LRS KASABAI SARJERAOBADAK  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1020 WRIT PETITION NO.6891 OF 2019

JAINODDIN TAMIJODDIN SHAIK  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1026 WRIT PETITION NO.6898 OF 2019

GOVIND BUDHAJI DANDGE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1027 WRIT PETITION NO.6899 OF 2019

SHERKHA BADEKHA PATHAN  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1028 WRIT PETITION NO.6900 OF 2019

MADHUKAR SHAHAJI GHORPADE

VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1038 WRIT PETITION NO.6910 OF 2019

EKNATH TUKARAM SHINGARE THOUGH LRS RUKHMANBAI  
EKNATHSHINGARE AND OTHERS

VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1039 WRIT PETITION NO.6911 OF 2019

MANJOOR RASHID SHAIKH  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1041 WRIT PETITION NO.6913 OF 2019

RAMDAS SAMPAT SAPKAL  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1042 WRIT PETITION NO.6914 OF 2019

RAMDAS DAJIBA GOJE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1049 WRIT PETITION NO.6921 OF 2019

DADA DEVMAN JAGTAP  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1054 WRIT PETITION NO.6926 OF 2019

RAMLAL BUDHA HASHE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1059 WRIT PETITION NO.6950 OF 2019

KISAN KASHIRAM PANDHARE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1060 WRIT PETITION NO.6951 OF 2019

SHAUKAT AHMED SAYYED  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1061 WRIT PETITION NO.6952 OF 2019

RAMESH PANDITRAO SHELAR  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1062 WRIT PETITION NO.6953 OF 2019

BAJIRAO MANIKRAO SHINDE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1063 WRIT PETITION NO.6954 OF 2019

GANPAT RAMRAO SAHANE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AD OTHERS

with  
1069 WRIT PETITION NO.6967 OF 2019

UTTAM MAROTI SAVANT  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

with  
1072 WRIT PETITION NO.6970 OF 2019

NAMDEO SURMAJI SULTANE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. More Ashok A.  
AGP for Respondents/State : Mrs. G.L. Deshpande, Mrs. R.P. Gour,  
Mr. V.S. Badakh, Mr. P.S. Patil

...

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &  
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**  
**DATE : 12.06.2019**

**PC. :-**

We have heard Mr. More the learned advocate for the petitioners and the learned A.G.P. for respondent nos.1 to 3. The grievance of the petitioners is that the revenue recovery certificates are issued but the revenue authority are not executing the same.

2. Hence we pass the following order:

“I. In case the revenue recovery certificates in favour of the petitioners are still in force and the properties of respondent no.4 are not attached or no further steps are taken by any other creditor, then the authorities i.e. respondent nos.2 and 3 shall execute the revenue recovery certificates in accordance with law expeditiously. The petitioners shall cooperate in showing the

properties of the Karkhana movable as well as immovable to enable the authorities to proceed further. We have not laid down any particular time frame, however, it is expected that the authorities shall take expeditious steps to execute the same considering that it is an amount with regard to the gratuity and the petitioners are senior citizens.”

3. Writ petitions are disposed of.

**[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]**

**[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]**