

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 8198 OF 2018

BALASAHEB VASANTRAO SUBHEDAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Naiknavare Ramesh V.
AGP for Respondent / State : Mr. A. R. Kale

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.

DATE: 27th MARCH, 2019

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner seeks directions against the respondent no. 1 to take action against respondents no. 2 to 5 and 7.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The matter pertains to the service conditions. The petitioner is an alien to the services rendered by respondent no. 7 and the other respondents. The petitioner would not have

any *locus standi* to maintain the present writ petition. How the petitioner is affected is also not disclosed.

4. In the light of above, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe