

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3503 OF 2016

M/s. J.D. Agency, Jalna
Through its Proprietor

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. U.B. Bondar, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. S.G. Karlekar, Assistant Government Pleader for
Respondent Nos. 1 to 3

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATE : 27th FEBRUARY, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. Mr. Bondar, the learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present matter, the petitioner would not agitate his challenge to the notification.
2. In view of the said statement, nothing remains for adjudication in the present Writ Petition.
3. The learned Counsel submits that for the past three years, the petitioner has paid excess renewal fees. The same is required to be adjusted.

4. It is submitted that average sale of preceding three years is to be considered for calculating the licence fee for current year.
5. The respondent shall consider the same and if excess fee is deposited, the same be considered for adjustment in the current years renewal fees.
6. With these observations, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

mta