

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3077 OF 2018

ANIKET SHARAD BARI

VERSUS

MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CO.
LTD., THROUGH ITS ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Deshmukh Sachin S.

Advocate for Respondent / Sole :

Mr. Shelke Avishkar S.

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.

DATE: 23rd SEPTEMBER, 2019

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Shelke, learned counsel for the sole respondent submits that the petitioner has an alternate remedy before the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum constituted as per Section 42 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

2. In view of that, we are not inclined to entertain the petition. Writ Petition is disposed with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

3. The interim order passed by this Court shall be continued for a further period of fifteen (15) days from today, so as to enable the petitioner to make necessary application before the appropriate forum. Needless to state, on lapse of fifteen (15) days the protection shall come to an end.

[ANIL S. KILOR, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe