

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.420 OF 2018

SHRI SADGURU SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SUDHIR BABANRAO DESHMUKH AND OTHERS

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.229 OF 2017

SUDHIR BABANRAO DESHMUKH
VERSUS
BABULAL POPAT KARHALE AND OTHERS

WITH
REVIEW PETITION NO.175 OF 2018
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.229 OF 2017

SOMINATH SHANKAR BANKAR
VERSUS
SUDHIR BABANRAO PATIL

...

Shri C.K.Shinde, Advocate for the petitioner in WP/420/2018 and for the
applicant in RA/175/2018.

Shri D.J.Patil, Advocate for the petitioner in CP/229/2017.

Shri N.T.Bhagat, AGP, for the State.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 06th September, 2019

Per Court:

1 These matters were not on the board, though they were
circulated for this date. A motion was moved at 10:30 am and hence, the

matters were taken on the production board.

2 The learned advocate for the management tenders a copy of the compromise terms, which have been agreed upon by the parties on 06.09.2019. The compromise terms are supported by verification of the respective parties. The parties to the compromise terms are present in the court and are identified by the respective advocates. As such, the said compromise terms (five pages) are taken on record and collectively marked as exhibit "X" for identification.

3 The learned advocates submit that the writ petition, contempt petition and review application can be disposed off in view of the compromise terms.

4 The learned AGP submits that he would oppose the compromise terms if they cast any financial burden on the Government.

5 The learned advocates for the respective sides submit that no financial burden is being cast on the Government and the compromise terms are in between the management and the employee.

6 In view of the above, the writ petition, contempt petition and review application stand disposed off in view of the compromise terms exhibit X. The compromise terms exhibit X shall be a part of this order.