

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4249 OF 2018
IN CA/1466/2007

SANTOSH PUNJARAM PAKHARE THRO. GPA- GOVIND AMBADAS
PAKHRE
VERSUS
VINAYAK SAMPATRAO WAGH AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. P. P. Mandlik holding for Mr. Gandhi A. S.
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. Ramesh Dhamangaonkar, G.M.
Jakkalwar, Mr. K. D. Badepatil, Mr. M.D.Gitte and S.G.Jadha.

...
CORAM : SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.
DATE : 16-01-2019.

PER COURT :

1. After hearing learned advocate for the applicant / appellant, it is noticed that, there is nothing on record which would show that encroachment is made by the respondents over the land admeasuring 2 A 25 R in Gut No. 163 situated at village Gondegaon Tq. And Dist. Jalna.

2. Perusal of the documents annexed would show that, only certain official communication was made by the Tahsildar, however it appears that, no attempt is made to get the alleged encroached area measured. The application is silent what is the extent of encroachment. Under such circumstance the application appears to be very vague, hence it cannot be entertained and accordingly it is disposed of.

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

vjg/-.