

((1))

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.222 OF 2018 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.12053 OF 2016

Bhartiya Shikshan Prasarak Sanstha ... PETITIONER

VERSUS

Sunil Chavhan, Director of Education
(Primary), Maharashtra State, Pune ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri U.B. Deshmukh, Advocate for petitioner
Mrs. G.L. Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondent
.....

CORAM: PRASANNA B. VARALE AND
R.G. AVACHAT, JJ.

DATED : 24th JULY, 2019.

ORAL ORDER :

In response to notice issued by this Court, an affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of respondent Shri Sunil s/o Ratansing Chauhan, Director of Education (Primary), Maharashtra State, Pune. The Division Bench of this Court directed the respondent State to decide the proposal for starting a new unit and grant of approval. By order dated 1/3/2018, the State Government informed the decision to the Director of Education, Maharashtra State, Pune that the proposal filed by the petitioner institute cannot be accepted. As such, the proposal was rejected.

It is stated in the affidavit-in-reply that, some delay caused in taking the decision, but the delay was for the procedural and administrative formalities. As such, the delay was purely unintentional and for bonafide reasons. It is also stated in the affidavit-in-reply that the deponent tenders unconditional apology for the delay caused in taking decision.

2. Considering the above referred facts, we are of the opinion that the order of this Court is now complied with. The delay is also properly explained along with the unconditional apology. The petitioner, if feels aggrieved by the decision of the State Government, he is at liberty to take appropriate steps so as to challenge the decision by approaching the competent forum, if so advised.

3. The Contempt Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(R.G. AVACHAT)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

fmp/-