

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.4140 OF 2017

- 1) Dr. Shri Sanjay Krishnaji Bhokardole,
- 2) Shri Shailesh Anand Kulkarni

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra,
Through, Principal Secretary,
Higher Education,
Department of Higher Education,
Mantralaya, Mumbai
and others.

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr.N.K. Tungar Advocate for Petitioners.
Mr.S.N. Kendre, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
Mr.A.V. Hon Advocate for Respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

...

**CORAM: SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.**

DATE : 2ND DECEMBER, 2019

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the appearing parties.
2. While petitioners purport to claim that there had been favouritism in making appointment of respondent No. 6

since he was already employed in different capacity with respondent No. 5 institution, yet it transpires that while petitioners had participated in the selection process they were already age barred.

3. In the circumstances, the petitioners lack *locus standi*. The petition is, therefore, not entertained and is rejected.

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

asb/DEC19

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE