

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**928 CONT. PETITION NO.203 OF 2019
IN WP/513/2018**

SAYED RAUF SAYED YUSUF QUADRI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Kudale Bhagwan S.
AGP for Respondent -State: Mr. K. N. Lokhande
Advocate for Respondent No.2 :Mr. S. D. Kaldate

...

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATED : 19th DECEMBER , 2019.

...

PER COURT :

1. Learned counsel Mr. Kaldate, appearing for respondent No.2 invited our attention to the affidavit in reply and more particularly the copies of the orders placed at page Nos.40 and 41. The perusal of the orders show that by assigning reasons the claim / proposal of the petitioners is rejected. It is not in dispute that now there is a decision by the authorities in compliance of the order of this Court and the petitioners are if aggrieved by the decision, are at liberty to take appropriate steps so as to challenge the decision before the competent

the forum. In view of these facts, nothing survives in the contempt petition. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)

vsm/-