

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

941 WRIT PETITION NO.4238 OF 2019

ANASHA MADHAV KALE THROUGH LRS SINDUBAI ANASHABAPU KALE
AND OTHERS

VERSUS

RAJENDRA BHASKAR BHINGARDIVE

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr.NS Jaju, Adv. h/for Mr.
Palod L.B. And D L Palod

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.

Dated: April 18, 2019

...

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioners.
Aggrieved by order passed below Exhibit81 in RCS
No.1059/2012 by the 3rd Jt. Civil Judge, Senior Division,
Ahmednagar, the present petition is filed.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that at
the fag end of the trial, the court has allowed the
amendment to be carried out, which is impermissible. I
have carefully gone through the impugned order. The
court has assigned sound reasons for allowing the
application. It appears to me that the subsequent
events, which were sought to be brought on record, are
rightly permitted to be brought on record by the trial

BDV

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

court by allowing the amendment and right of present petitioners to rebut the said facts is not taken away.

3. The writ petition being devoid of any merits deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

...