

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.2939 OF 2019

Rajesh s/o Mahadavrao Bhandare,
Age: 32 years, Occu: Service
R/o. Assistant Teacher of Shri.
Shivaji High School, Khokadpura,
Shivaji Nagar, Aurangabad ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Department of School Education
& Sports, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
Aurangabad Region, near Bhadkal
Gate, Aurangabad, Tq. & Dist.
Aurangabad
3. The Education Officer (Secondary),
Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad
4. Shri Shivaji Mofhat Education
Society, Kandhar, Tq. Kandhar,
Dist. Nanded,
Through its Secretary/Chairman
5. Shri Shivaji High School,
Shivaji Nagar, Aurangabad
Through its Headmaster ..RESPONDENTS

Mr Ramesh I. Wakade, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr S. S. Dande, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 to 3

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 1st MARCH, 2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is approaching this Court with a limited prayer i.e. directions to the respondents - authorities, more particularly, respondent No. 3 - Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad to decide the proposal for grant of approval.

3. The petitioner was appointed as *Shikshan Sevak* on 21st September, 2013. The head master submitted proposal to the Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad on 25th November, 2013. Same is received on 27th November, 2013.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was continuously working in the

(3)

said school and by way of resolution dated 28th October, 2017, society granted permanency and regularization to the petitioner. The copy of the said resolution is placed on record at Exhibit-F. It is also submitted in the petition that when the petitioner approached the educational authorities by way of oral request, the authorities assured the petitioner that a decision would be taken on the proposal but as the decision is not taken till date, the petitioner left with no choice but to approach this Court seeking directions.

5. This being a limited grievance of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with directions to the respondent - Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad to decide the proposal dated 25th November, 2013, if it is not decided till date, as expeditiously as possible and not later than twelve (12) weeks from the date of this order. We make it clear that the Education Officer is at liberty to take decision on the merits of the proposal and verifying the record if

(4)

needed.

6. With these directions, the petition is disposed of.

(**S.M.GAVHANE**)
JUDGE

(**PRASANNA B. VARALE**)
JUDGE

Tupe