

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO.39 OF 2013
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.7574 OF 2012
WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2627 OF 2013
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2431 OF 2015
(IN LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO.39 OF 2013)**

Shashikalabai w/o Ranu Banmare

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

The Divisional Commissioner,
Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad
and others.

...RESPONDENTS

...

WITH

**LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO.40 OF 2013
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.7569 OF 2012
WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2628 OF 2013
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2432 OF 2015
(IN LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO.40 OF 2013)**

Balasaheb s/o Ganeshrao Giram

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

The Divisional Commissioner,
Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad
and others.

...RESPONDENTS

...
Mr.S.R. Kolhare Advocate h/f. Mr. V.M. Maney Advocate for
Appellants in both the appeals.
Mr.G.O. Wattamwar, AGP for Resp. Nos.1 and 2 in both appeals.
Mr.S.J. Salunke Advocate for Resp. Nos.6 to 13 in both appeals.
...

**CORAM: SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
S.M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 7TH OCTOBER, 2019

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for the appellants fairly refers to that with the passage of time both the letters patent appeals have lost efficacy and have been rendered infructuous. In view the statement letters patent appeals are disposed of as infructuous.

2. Consequently, all pending civil applications in both the letters patent appeals also stand disposed of.

(S.M. GAVHANE, J.)

asb/OCT19

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]