

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8822 OF 2013
IN FAST/5739/2013 WITH CA/8823/2013 IN FAST/5735/2013 WITH
CA/8824/2013 IN FAST/5743/2013 WITH CA/8825/2013 IN FAST/5731/2013
WITH CA/8826/2013 IN FAST/5733/2013

MAHADEO SURYABHAN PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

.....

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Abhijit S. More
AGP for Respondent no.01 : Mr. R.B. Bagul
Advocate for Respondent no.02 : Mr. Jeevan R. Patil h/f. Mr. Vishal S. Badakh

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 30TH JULY 2019

ORAL ORDER :

01. Present applications have been filed by the claimants for getting delay of 882 days condoned in filing first appeals. The claimants intend to challenge the judgment and award passed by the reference Court for enhancement.

02. Learned Assistant Government Pleader representing respondent no.01 strongly opposes the applications on the ground that the reason that has been mentioned for condonation of delay is not sufficient and reasonable.

03. Taking into consideration the fact that the applicants are agriculturists, coming from rural area and whose lands were acquired; leniency is required to be shown and hence the delay stands condoned. The applications stand **allowed** and disposed of. It is clarified that the applicants are not entitled to get interest of 882 days in case of success in their appeals. Registry to verify and register the first appeals and place them for further consideration on 18th November 2019.

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi)
JUDGE

.....