

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO. 3124 OF 2019

Ajij s/o Chandsab Sayyed

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Mr. B.B. Dahiphale, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. V.S. Choudhary, AGP for all respondents.

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE AND
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.
DATE : 20th March, 2019.**

P.C. :

Heard Mr. Dahiphale, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. As limited grievance is raised in the petition and learned counsel for petitioner was fair enough to submit before us that mere direction to the authority would suffice the purpose approaching this Court. As such, by consent, the petition is taken up for disposal at admission stage.

3. Issue notice to respondents returnable forthwith. Learned AGP waives service of notice on behalf of all respondents.

4. Petitioner, being aggrieved by the order passed by the Additional District Magistrate, Latur on 25.01.2016, thereby rejecting the application for renewal of his fire arm, approached the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad, by preferring appeal under

section 18 of the Arms Act, 1959.

5. Petitioner preferred appeal before the the office of the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad, on 28.07.2016. Copy of appeal placed on record bears the seal and endorsement dated 28.07.2016.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the appeal is pending before the authority till date of filing of the petition, petitioner is left with no choice but to approach this Court by filing present writ petition.

7. In view of this fact, petition is disposed of with directions to respondent no. 2 – Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad, to decide Appeal no. 36/2016, if not decided till date, needless to state on merits of the appeal, as expeditiously as possible and not later than twelve weeks from the date of receipt of order of this Court.

NITIN W. SAMBRE
JUDGE

dyb

PRASANNA B. VARALE
JUDGE