

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

910 WRIT PETITION NO.11412 OF 2018

ROHIDAS DALSINGH JADHAV
VERSUS
BANDU DALSINGH JADHAV AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Jadhav Kiran D.
AGP for Respondent 3 : Shri Yadav (Lonikar) S.R.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: January 24, 2019

...

PER COURT :-

1. Leave to add the State as respondent No.3. Addition be carried out forthwith.
2. Learned AGP causes his appearance on behalf of the added respondent.
3. The learned AGP points out that the petitioner has a statutory remedy of filing a second revision, in view of the judicial pronouncement in Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani vs. State of Maharashtra and others [2016 (2) SCC 213].
4. Considering the above, this petition is disposed off with liberty to prefer a second revision.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2- WRIT PETITION NO.11412 OF 2018

5. If the petitioner takes a recourse to the statutory remedy within four weeks from today, the time spent in this Court from 6.2.2018 until four weeks from today, can be a ground for seeking condonation of delay.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...