

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2699 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 14689 OF 2017**

Ramchandra S/o Tukaram Godalkar

..APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..RESPONDENTS

Mr Shrimant Mundhe, Advocate for applicant;
Ms R. P. Gour, A.G.P. for respondent No. 1 & 2;
Mr S. T. Shelke, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 & 4;
Mr V. N. Upadhye, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 and 6

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 15th February, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant.

2. By way of present application, the applicant prays for incorporating consequential prayers on the backdrop of the challenge raised to the appointment order of respondent No.7.

3. Learned Counsel, by inviting our attention to the petition submitted that the petitioner was permitted to add respondent No.7 and accordingly respondent No. 7 is added as a party respondent by way of amendment dated 4th June 2018.

(2)

4. This being a consequential prayer, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and more particularly respondent No. 7 who is already added as a party to the petition.

5. In view of the above referred facts and for the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed in terms of prayer Clause (B). Amendment be carried out within a period of two weeks.

(S. M. GAVHANE, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)

Tupe