

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

4 WRIT PETITION NO.3917 OF 2019

**SANJULAL MOILAL SULANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 3918 OF 2017

**MOTILAL SHIVLAL SULANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Petitioner/S : Mr. Thole Vinod I.
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. V.M. Kagne
...

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 03.06.2019**

PC. :-

We have heard Mr. Thole the learned advocate for the petitioners. The learned advocate submits that compensation as far as the land is concerned the petitioners have no grievance but it is to the extent of compensation assessed for teakwood.

2. We have also heard the learned A.G.P.
3. The acquisition is by private negotiation and the property is sold by sale-deed. It is by way of a private instrument. It is not an acquisition

pursuant to the statutory provisions.

4. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the consideration amount as stated in the sale-deed the remedy for the petitioners is under the Specific Relief Act. The writ jurisdiction cannot be invoked in such a matter.

5. In light of the above the writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to avail the remedy as may be available in law. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]