

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.1554 OF 2019

Pravin s/o Hari Chaudhari ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors ..RESPONDENTS

Mr A.G. Talhar, Advocate for petitioner;
Mrs M.A. Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondent/State;
Mr V.D. Hon, Senior Advocate i/b Mr A.V. Hon,
Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 and 6;
Mr A.B. Girase, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 7

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 13th FEBRUARY, 2019

OPERATIVE ORDER :

For the reasons recorded separately, the petition is dismissed.

2. We make it clear that if the petitioner is willing to participate in the process of advertisement issued by the management, the petitioner may do so by staking his claim and submit his application in response to the

(2)

advertisement issued by the management. Needless to state that such claim of the petitioner would be considered on the merits of the application, if submitted by the petitioner.

3. We further make it clear that the execution petition filed by the petitioner pending before the authority be decided by that authority expeditiously, needless to state that by giving an opportunity of hearing to all respective parties in the proceeding.

(**S.M.GAVHANE**)
JUDGE

(**PRASANNA B. VARALE**)
JUDGE

Tupe