

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

103 WRIT PETITION NO.1658 OF 2018

NITIN MANIKRAO GAIKWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner: Mr Jadhavar Santosh S.
AGP for Respondents: Mr P. K. Lakhotiya
Advocate for Respondents No.2: Mr. P.D. Javare h/for
Mr. S.S. Thombre

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATE : 15th April, 2019

PER COURT :

1. We have heard Mr. Jadhavar learned Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Thombre, learned Advocate for respondent No.2.

2. The petitioner is challenging the promotion of Respondent No.3 to the Post of Assistant Registrar. According to the respondents, the petitioner has remedy before the Grievance Committee under section 79 of the Maharashtra University Act.

3. In the light of above, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy. In case the petitioner avails the

alternate remedy, all contentions of the respective parties are kept open.

4. If the petitioner approaches the Grievance Committee, then the Grievance Committee may decide the matter expeditiously.

5. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(A. M.DHAVALA, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

JPC