

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5235 OF 2017

PRADIP MADHAV MATE  
VERSUS  
THE CHIEF OFFICER, NAGAR PARISHAD, KOPARGAON  
AHMEDNAGAR AND OTHERS

...  
Mr. K.M. Nagarkar, Advocate for petitioner  
Mrs. Rashmi S. Kulkarni, Advocate h/f. Mr. S.S. Kulkarni, Advocate  
for respondent no.3  
Mr. G.O. Wattamwar, AGP for respondent-State  
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.  
DATE : 18-01-2019

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for parties.
2. After hearing learned counsel for parties and on perusal of reasons set out in impugned order, it appears that approach of trial court could seldom be said to be improper at this stage of the matter.
3. In the circumstances, it does not appear that the order deserves to be intercepted under discretionary powers of this court. Writ petition, therefore, is not being entertained and is rejected, leaving it open for petitioner, if the situation requires, to take recourse to section 105 of the code of civil procedure.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]  
JUDGE

arp/