

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1935 OF 2019

LAXMAN BALASAHEB WAGHMARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Masood Chand
AGP for Respondents / State : Mrs. M. A. Deshpande

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE: 25th JUNE, 2019

PER COURT:

1. The contention of the petitioner is that the management is not forwarding the proposal for continuation as an Assistant Teacher and for salary.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader.

3. The learned counsel submits that the management has just a day before filing the

writ petition forwarded the proposal seeking continuation of the petitioner as an Assistant Teacher. In light of that, the purpose of the writ petition stands served.

4. The petitioner and / or the management may prosecute the proposal before the Education Officer.

5. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe