

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

940 WRIT PETITION NO.1353 OF 2019

ZILLA PARISHAD JALNA
VERSUS
SANJAY SURYAKANT MHASKE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Tope Sambhaji S.
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Shri Gawali Amol K.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: October 04, 2019
...

PER COURT :-

1. This matter was heard for sometime. I have perused the orders passed by this Court on 6.2.2019 and 22.2.2019.

2. I am of the view that the ends of justice would be met if the pending MCA Nos. 100 to 103 and 105 to 115 of 2019 are decided expeditiously.

3. The learned Advocate for respondent No.1 submits that this Court may not stay the execution proceedings. Since the MCAs is being decided within four months, the decree holders would simply seek an adjournment in the execution proceedings, provided the petitioner does not seek a single adjournment before the appellate Court in the MCAs. The learned Advocate for the petitioner is agreeable.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2- WRIT PETITION NO.1353 OF 2019

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off. The appellate Court shall decide MCA Nos. 100 to 103 and 105 to 115 of 2019, as expeditiously as possible and in any case on/or before 31.1.2020.

5. The petitioner shall not seek an adjournment and shall forthwith advance oral final arguments. Written notes of submissions are permitted. Until the MCAs are decided or till 31.1.2020, whichever is earlier, the decree holder would seek adjournment in the pending execution proceedings.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...