

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

30 WRIT PETITION NO. 3168 OF 1999

NANDED WAGHALA CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
NANDED
VERSUS
RATNAKAR KANTRAO KUKADGAONKAR AND ANOTHER

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1218 OF 2013 IN WP/3168/1999**

MOHAMMAD MUQUEET S/O MOHAMMADSAB
VERSUS
NANDED WAGHALA CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
NANDED AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Shri M. D. Narwadkar h/f
Shri M. V. Deshpande
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Shri P. P. Mandlik

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATED : 20th JUNE, 2019.

...

PER COURT :

1. I have considered the submissions of the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the respondents.

2. It is jointly stated that after this Court refused interim relief to the petitioner and the petition was admitted on 12/06/2001, the respondent subsequently was selected as a

Deputy Commissioner pursuant to an advertisement published. He joined duties as a Deputy Commissioner and has recently opted for voluntary retirement. He had preferred his ULP Complaint before the Industrial Court while he was a clerk and which complaint was allowed by the impugned judgment of the Industrial Court dated 04/03/1999. The learned Advocate for the respondent employee, therefore, submits that nothing would survive in this petition.

3. Considering the above, this petition is disposed off as being infructuous as the impugned judgment of the Industrial Court having become inoperable.

4. Rule is discharged.

5. The pending Civil Application would not survive and stands disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

shp/-