

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

907 WRIT PETITION NO.2265 OF 2017

DINKAR ONKAR DHINWAR
VERSUS
THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER, MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD
TRANSPORT CORPORATION, JALGAON

...

Mr. B.K. Patil, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. M.K. Goyanka, Advocate for the sole respondent

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.

DATE : 03rd DECEMBER, 2019

PER COURT :

1 Heard learned counsel for the parties. There appears to be substance in the submissions on behalf of respondent that there is efficacious alternate remedy available.

2 In the circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain the writ petition leaving it open to petitioner to have resort to alternate remedy as would be available in law.

3 Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi)
JUDGE

(Sunil P. Deshmukh)
JUDGE