

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1978 OF 2019

B.G. SHIRKE CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY PVT. LTD. THROUGH
AUTHORIZED PERSON SATISH PANDHARINATH DAUNDKAR
VERSUS
SHRI TRADCO DEESAN PVT. LTD. THROUGH AUTHORIZED PERSON
RAVIKUMAR GIRDHARILAL JAJODIA

...
Mr. Mukul S. Kulkarni, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. S.B. Gorde Patil, Advocate for respondent
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 20-02-2019

ORDER :

1. In view of section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, according to learned counsel for respondent, avenue of appeal would be required to be explored. Learned counsel for petitioner has reservations about the same, however, resiles to the situation and submits that all the points be kept open.

2. Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to avail of alternate remedy as is said to be available by respondent, keeping all the points open. In case, question of limitation comes up, pendency of this writ petition shall receive its due.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/