

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

921 WRIT PETITION NO.3320 OF 2014

1. Revindra Haribhau Chavan,
Age; 45 yrs, Occ; Agril,

2. Dnyanoba Shamrao Rankhamb,
Age; 70 years, Occ; Agril,

Both R/o; Toramba, Tq. Lohara,
District; Osmanabad.

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through The Collector,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.

2. The Special Land Acquisition
Officer No. 1,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.

RESPONDENTS

WITH

921 WRIT PETITION NO.3321 OF 2014

1. Mohan Amruta Kore,
Age; 50 yrs, Occ; Agril,

2. Govind Shamrao Rankhamb,
Age; 65 yrs, Occ; Agril,

R/o; Toramba, Tq. Lohara,
Dist; Osmanabad.

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through The Collector,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.
2. The Special Land Acquisition
Officer No. 1,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.

RESPONDENTS**WITH****921 WRIT PETITION NO.3322 OF 2014**

1. Tukaram Tulshiram Chavan,
Age; 68 yrs, Occ; Agril,
2. Aatmaram Tulshiram Chavan,
Age; 65 yrs, Occ; Agril,

R/o; Toramba, Tq. Lohara,
Dist; Osmanabad.

PETITIONERS**VERSUS**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through The Collector,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.
2. The Special Land Acquisition
Officer No. 1,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.

RESPONDENTS**WITH****921 WRIT PETITION NO.3323 OF 2014**

1. Dnyanoba Vasant Kore,
Age; 60 yrs, Occ; Agril,
2. Baban Panda Rankhamb,
Age; 65 yrs, Occ; Agril,

R/o; Toramba, Tq. Lohara,
Dist; Osmanabad.

PETITIONERS**VERSUS**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through The Collector,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.
2. The Special Land Acquisition
Officer No. 1,
Collector Office, Osmanabad.

RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri.V.V. Kabade, Advocate for Petitioner
Shri S.N. Morampalle, A.G.P.for Respondents
.....

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

Date of Judgment : 08.03.2019.

JUDGMENT : (Per T.V. NALAWADE, J]

Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. By
consent, heard both the sides for final disposal.

2. The petitioners have filed these petitions,

seeking directions to the respondent No. 1, to decide applications filed under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act. Submission made on record shows that applications were filed on 6.6.2013, but nothing was intimated to the petitioners. No progress is made in the proceedings in respect of the applications filed by the petitioners to respondent No. 1 Collector. In view of the circumstances, this Court holds that directions is to be given to respondent No. 1 to take decision on those proceedings within six months from today. Accordingly, direction is given to respondent No. 1. Writ Petitions are allowed and disposed of accordingly. Rule is made absolute in above terms.

3. Authenticated copy of this order be provided to both sides at their request.

[SUNIL K.KOTWAL, J.]
JUDGE

[T.V.NALAWADE, J.]
JUDGE

mahajansb/