

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

913. WRIT PETITION NO. 5949 OF 1999

The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Beed Dist. Beed.

...Petitioner

VERSUS

1. Muktabai W/o Raosaheb Bhakre,
C/o Trade Union Centre, Bashirganj,
Beed

2. The State of Maharashtra

...Respondents

*Mr. Parag Shahane, Advocate for respondent No.1
Mr. S.R. Yadav, Asstt.Govt. Pleader for Respt. No.2*

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE : 27th June, 2019

PER COURT:

1. On 12th May, 2017, I had passed the following order :-

“ 1. None appeared for the petitioner on
08/05/2017, 09/05/2017 as well as on this
date.

2. S.O. 03/08/2017, for final hearing.”

2. On 6th May, 2019, I had passed the following order : -

“ 1. Though this petition is listed for final
hearing in the special Board for final hearing in
vacation board, none appears for the petitioner

- Zilla Parishad.

2. List this petition for “passing orders” on dismissal on 27/06/2019.”

3. Even today, none appears for the petitioner.

4. The learned Advocate for respondent No.1 submits that she has been in employment and her proposal for extending benefits of the Kalelkar Settlement was submitted by the Zilla Parishad on 6th February, 2004. The name of the respondent is at serial No. 4 in the list of employees submitted alongwith the proposal. The learned Advocate does not have further instructions as to what happened to her proposal.

5. Considering the above, this petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

6. Rule is discharged.

7. In the event, the petitioner moves an application for restoration of this petition, the office would accept the civil application only if the petitioner deposits an amount of Rs. 5000/- (Rs. five thousand only) alongwith the application for setting aside the order.

**(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE**